

## Faculty Development Showcase Week: Using the Item Analysis to Enhance Test Construction

## **Course Description:**

This is a brief audiovisual presentation designed to introduce faculty to ways to enhance and improve multiple choice test construction using statistical data from the item analysis. The multiple choice written examination is a significant formative and summative evaluation tool for many health professions. Great valid examinations are difficulty to construct. Using statistical data from an Item Analysis can provide direction to both novice and experienced faculty members as well as strengthen the reliability and validity of these examinations.

## **Course Objectives:**

The participant will:

- 1. State how to use an item analysis summary to determine the reliability and validity of the examination.
- 2. Develop and modify multiple choice examination questions
- 3. Define whether multiple choice questions are strong indicators of student knowledge retention.















Dav	Time:								Tem	vYear:				
Total	Dessible	Pointe:	100.00	N	dedian Score:			83.25			Highe	t Score:		96.00
Stand	Candord Devision \$271			N	Mean Score: 81.39 Lowest Score:							52.00		
Stud	ent in this	group:	59	Reliability Coefficient (KR20): 0.88										
Stud	ent Reco	rds Based Or	n: All Stud	ents										
0.000							_							
Correct Group Responses			Point Correct Response Free			quenci	uencies - * indicates correct answer				Non			
32.	Total	Unner 27%	Lower 27%	Riserial	Answer	A	В	C	D	E				Distract
1	84.75%	100.00%	81.25%	0.19	A	*50	8	0	1	0				CE
2	98.31%	100.00%	93.75%	0.22	A	*58	1	0	0	0				CDE
3	98.31%	100.00%	100.00%	0.03	A	*58	0	0	1	0				BCE
4	93.22%	100.00%	87.50%	0.39	A	*55	4	0	0	. 0				CDE
5	93.22%	100.00%	81.25%	0.19	с	1	0	*55	3	0				30
6	03.22%	100.00%	81.25%	0.49	в	4	*55	0	0	0				CDE
7	88.14%	100.00%	68.75%	0.55	C	2	1	*52	4	0				E
8	13.56%	18.75%	18.75%	-0.04	B	20	*8	31	0	Ó				DE
9	G4 02%	100.00%	100.00%	0.03	8	1	*56	1	1	0				3
10	68.31%	100.00%	93.75%	0.32	D	0	1	0	*58	0				ACE
112														



















m Diffi	culty Lev	el: D	efinition
tage	of students w item correctly	'ho ans y	swered the
High (Difficult)	Medium (Moderate)	Low (Easy)	
<= 30%	> 30% AND < 80%	>=80%	
	High (Difficut) <= 30%	m Difficulty Leve tage of students w item correctly High (Difficult) Medium (Moderate) <= 30% > 30% AND < 80%	High (Difficulty Level: D   High (Difficult)   Medium (Moderate)   Low (Easy)   <= 30%





















_	Corre	et Group Res	ponses	Point Biserial	Correct Answer	Response Frequencies - * in				
No.	Total	Upper 27%	Lower 27%			A	В	C	D	E
1	84.75%	100.00%	81.25%	0.19	A	*50	8	0	1	0
2	98.31%	100.00%	93.75%	0.22	A	*58	1	0	0	0
3	98.31%	100.00%	100.00%	0.03	A	*58	0	0	1	0
4	93.22%	100.00%	87.50%	0.39	A	*55	4	0	0	0
5	93.22%	100.00%	81.25%	0.19	С	1	0	*55	3	0
6	93.22%	100.00%	81.25%	0.49	В	4	*55	0	0	0
7	88.14%	100.00%	68.75%	0.55	С	2	1	*52	4	0
8	13.56%	18.75%	18.75%	-0.04	В	20	*8	31	0	0
9	94.92%	100.00%	100.00%	0.03	В	1	*56	1	1	0
10	98.31%	100.00%	93,75%	0.32	D	0	1	0	*58	0
11	59.32%	87.50%	50.00%	0.18	D	2	17	5	*35	0
									-	_







































Upper 27%	Lower 27%	Biserial	Nondistractors Present	Action
Correct	Incorrect	+	-	No action
Correct	Incorrect	+	+	Revise nondistractors
Incorrect	Correct	-	-	Revise test item
Incorrect	Correct	-	+	Revise test item & nondistractors
Incorrect	Incorrect	+ or -	+ or -	Reteach Content





































## References

- Clifton, S. L. & Schriner, C.L. (2010). Assessing the quality of multiple-choice test items. *Nurse Educator*, 35 (1), 12-16. doi: 10.1097/NNE.0b013e3181c41fa3
- Malau-Aduli, B.S. & Zimitat, C. (2012). Peer review improves the quality of MCQ examinations, Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 37 (8), 919-931. doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2011.586991
- McGahee, T. W & Ball, J. (2009). How to read and really use an item analysis. *Nurse Educator*, 34 (4), 166-171. doi: 10.1097/NNE.0b013e3181aaba94