

# **Faculty Development Showcase Week:** Health Profiles of Allied Health Students Enrolled in a Faith-Based University

### **Course Description:**

The purpose of this presentation is to present findings on the health profiles of students enrolled at a faith-based university. METHODS: Data were collected using a modified version of the Health Lifestyle Enhancement Profile (HELP) and HELP-Screener. Participants were 323 allied health students of which 64% were female and 36% male, with ages ranging from 19-51 years. RESULTS: Results showed that 34.1% of students perceived their health as excellent and 57.2% as good. It was further detected that high ratings of health were positively correlated with high ratings of happiness. Additionally, students who reported frequent exercise and a healthy diet scored more favourably across all measures of health in comparison to those who reported less frequent exercise and poorer dietary habits. Descriptive analysis revealed that 46.6% of students identified having at least one health problem with back and neck pain being the most prevalent, followed by anxiety and sleep disturbance. Less than half of the study sample reported monitoring their health regularly, avoiding unhealthy foods, avoiding sedentary activities and engaging in community activities. CONCLUSIONS: Together, findings provide consideration for future university-based interventions and point to the importance of supporting students' health for promoting their overall well-being and happiness.

Health Profiles of Allied Health Students Enrolled in a Faith-Based University



Dr. Dragana Krpalek

# LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- ★ 1. Describe the health profiles of allied health students enrolled at a faith-based university.
- 2. Identify sub-groups of students who are most vulnerable to lifestyle related health risks.
- X 3. Describe interventions that can be implemented through university-based initiatives to improve student health.





# QUESTION

- \* Are these factors related to students' health?
- How do these increasing demands impact students' health?

















# PARTICIPANTS

- X Of the 338 distributed surveys, 323 were completed and returned, representing a response rate of 95.56%
- Survey respondents were full-time students who were enrolled in one of the following allied health programs: Nutrition and Dietetics, Occupational Therapy, Physical Therapy, or Radiation Sciences with degrees ranging from associates to doctorate

DEM	OGRAPHIC DETAILS O	of Part	ICIPAN	TS, <i>N</i> = 3
	Variable	n	%	M(SD)
	Age			26.79(5.29)
	Gender			
	Female	208	64.4%	
	Male	115	35.6%	
	Ethnicity			
	Caucasian	117	36.8%	
	African American	9	2.8%	
	Asian American/Pacific Islander	114	35.8%	
	Native American	5	1.6%	
	Mexican American	30	9.4%	
	Latino/Hispanic	11	3.5%	
	Other	32	10.1%	

Raphic details of participants, N = 3		
Variable	n	%
Program		
Occupational Therapy	129	39.9%
Physical Therapy	117	36.2%
Science in Radiation Sciences	54	16.7%
Nutrition and Dietetics	23	7.1%
Program level		
Year 1	138	42.7%
Year 2	79	24.5%
Year 3	59	18.3%
Post Professional	47	14.6%



### INSTRUMENT

- Health Enhancement Lifestyle Profile (HELP) and the HELP-Screener
  - + Originally for over 55
  - + Modified for young adults & adults
  - + Focus group with target population
  - + Content expert Review
- × 68-item modified-HELP

### MODIFIED-HELP

- × Exercise (7 items)
- × Diet (14 items)
- **x** Community and social participation (6 items)
- × Leisure (11 items)
- \* Activities of daily living (8 items)
- × Stress management and spiritual participation (7 items)
- **×** Health promoting behaviors (5 items)
- **x** Life satisfaction and happiness scale (4 items)
- × Work and study satisfaction scale (6 items)

### MODIFIED HELP

- ✗ The activities of daily living scale was omitted due to an alpha coefficient score of .45.
- Coefficient scores for all other scales ranged from .66 to .74, indicating acceptable internal consistently.

# HELP-SCREENER

- × 18-items
- Condensed version of the modified-HELP questionnaire
- × Uses "yes" or "no" responses
- Example item "I exercise more than twice a week".

# DATA ANALYSIS

- × SPSS version 22
- × Descriptive analysis
- × Pearson correlation coefficients
- × Chi-square tests
- × Independent samples t-tests
- × One-way Independent samples ANOVAs
- Two step cluster analysis using diet and exercise
  + Subsequently, one-way Independent samples ANOVAs to explore differences between groups

SULTS - OVERALL HEALTH					
Variable		%			
Overall Health					
Excellent	109	34.1%			
Good	183	57.2%			
Fair	27	8.4%			
Poor	1	.3%			



# SIGNIFICANT ASSOCIATIONS

- × Health status and exercise (F(2, 316) = 12.78, p < .001)
- **\*** Health status and work and education satisfaction (F(2, 286) = 9.03, p < .001)
- **\*** Health status and life satisfaction and happiness (F(2, 310) = 1.53, p < .001)





#### RESULTS

- × Students who reported spending more time in sedentary activities were more likely to report a health problem ( $\chi^2(2) = 8.06$ , p < .05).
- × Most commonly reported health condition was back and neck pain (n = 44), followed by anxiety (n = 39), sleep problems (n = 17), depression (n = 16), and respiratory problems (n = 15).















#### BEFORE WE GO ON...

- × What results stand out to you most?
- ${\color{black}{\star}}$  Do you see any possible areas for intervention?
  - + How could we teach & promote health at the same time  $\textcircled{\mbox{$\odot$}}$

# THE POSITIVES

- Institutional values of the university which emphasize physical, emotional and spiritual health.
- Students may adopt and internalize these values as they immerse themselves in the culture of the university. Implementing healthrelated institutional values may thus be an important step towards creating healthier trends among university students.

# **AREAS OF CONCERN**

- vover half of the students indicated insufficient exercise
- Nonly 38% of students reported avoiding sedentary activities



# **AREAS OF CONCERN**

Sitting Disease



-Musculoskeletal disorders - Cardiovascular disease - Cancer - DM Type 2 - Premature death

# **AREAS OF CONCERN**

× Sleep

- + Only 59% of the students reported getting at least 7 hours of quality sleep per night
- A Over half the study sample reported feeling tired or needing a nap at least 3-4 days per week
- + 15 students reported having sleep problems
- Monitoring health
  - + Only 46% of students reported monitoring their health regularly
- × Diet
  - More than half of the student sample indicated that they eat foods that are high in fats, cholesterol, sodium or sugar

# VULNERABLE SUB-GROUPS

- × Infrequent exercise & unhealthy diet
- × Infrequent exercise & healthy diet
- × Mature aged students



# **TEACHING & PROMOTING HEALTH**

- Think about integrating breaks, stretches and exercise
- × Ergonomic design (OT visit)
- **×** Bringing candy to class?
- \* Providing support with stress management
- × Early detection of problems
- Encouraging health monitoring
- × Focus on spirituality



# LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- × Cross-sectional
- × Single-institution
- × Self-report
- \* Pressure to complete surveys in class time

### CONCLUSION

- × While several positive health trends were noted, a number of areas were highlighted that require consideration when designing university-based health promotion programming.
- × Particular attention should be paid towards reducing the amount of time spent in sedentary activities, offering healthy food options, promoting sleep and rest, and providing additional support for mature aged students.

#### REFERENCES

- Bryer J, Cherkis F, Raman J. Health-promotion behaviors of undergraduate nursing students: A survey Analysis. Nurs Educ Perspect. 2013; 34(6):410-415. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5480/11-614</u>. Buckworth J, Nigg C. Physical activity, exercise, and sedentary behavior in college students. J Am Coll Health. 2004; 53(1):28-34
- Buc 34. 34. Hase A, Steptoe A, Sallis JF, Wardle J. Leisure-time physical activity in university students from 23 universities: Associations with health beliefs, risk awareness, and national economic development. Preventive Medicine. 2004; 39:182:190. doi: 0.1016/j.ymerc.2004.01.028. Silliman K, Rotas-Fortier K, Neyman M. A survey of detary and exercise habits and perceived barriers to following a healthy litestyle in a college population. Call 'I Health Promot. 2004; 18:28:14. Analeste from: http://www.hawaii.edu/hivandaids/Survey. of Diet and Exercise Habits in a College Population.pdf

Health Profiles of Allied Health Students Enrolled in a Faith-Based University



Dr. Dragana Krpalek

# LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- ★ 1. Describe the health profiles of allied health students enrolled at a faith-based university.
- 2. Identify sub-groups of students who are most vulnerable to lifestyle related health risks.
- X 3. Describe interventions that can be implemented through university-based initiatives to improve student health.





# QUESTION

- \* Are these factors related to students' health?
- How do these increasing demands impact students' health?

















# PARTICIPANTS

- X Of the 338 distributed surveys, 323 were completed and returned, representing a response rate of 95.56%
- Survey respondents were full-time students who were enrolled in one of the following allied health programs: Nutrition and Dietetics, Occupational Therapy, Physical Therapy, or Radiation Sciences with degrees ranging from associates to doctorate

DEM	OGRAPHIC DETAILS O	of Part	ICIPAN	TS, <i>N</i> = 3
	Variable	n	%	M(SD)
	Age			26.79(5.29)
	Gender			
	Female	208	64.4%	
	Male	115	35.6%	
	Ethnicity			
	Caucasian	117	36.8%	
	African American	9	2.8%	
	Asian American/Pacific Islander	114	35.8%	
	Native American	5	1.6%	
	Mexican American	30	9.4%	
	Latino/Hispanic	11	3.5%	
	Other	32	10.1%	

Raphic details of participants, N = 3		
Variable	n	%
Program		
Occupational Therapy	129	39.9%
Physical Therapy	117	36.2%
Science in Radiation Sciences	54	16.7%
Nutrition and Dietetics	23	7.1%
Program level		
Year 1	138	42.7%
Year 2	79	24.5%
Year 3	59	18.3%
Post Professional	47	14.6%



### INSTRUMENT

- Health Enhancement Lifestyle Profile (HELP) and the HELP-Screener
  - + Originally for over 55
  - + Modified for young adults & adults
  - + Focus group with target population
  - + Content expert Review
- × 68-item modified-HELP

### MODIFIED-HELP

- × Exercise (7 items)
- × Diet (14 items)
- **x** Community and social participation (6 items)
- × Leisure (11 items)
- \* Activities of daily living (8 items)
- × Stress management and spiritual participation (7 items)
- **×** Health promoting behaviors (5 items)
- **x** Life satisfaction and happiness scale (4 items)
- × Work and study satisfaction scale (6 items)

### MODIFIED HELP

- ✗ The activities of daily living scale was omitted due to an alpha coefficient score of .45.
- Coefficient scores for all other scales ranged from .66 to .74, indicating acceptable internal consistently.

# HELP-SCREENER

- × 18-items
- Condensed version of the modified-HELP questionnaire
- × Uses "yes" or "no" responses
- Example item "I exercise more than twice a week".

# DATA ANALYSIS

- × SPSS version 22
- × Descriptive analysis
- × Pearson correlation coefficients
- × Chi-square tests
- × Independent samples t-tests
- × One-way Independent samples ANOVAs
- Two step cluster analysis using diet and exercise
  + Subsequently, one-way Independent samples ANOVAs to explore differences between groups

SULTS - OVERALL HEALTH					
Variable		%			
Overall Health					
Excellent	109	34.1%			
Good	183	57.2%			
Fair	27	8.4%			
Poor	1	.3%			



# SIGNIFICANT ASSOCIATIONS

- × Health status and exercise (F(2, 316) = 12.78, p < .001)
- **\*** Health status and work and education satisfaction (F(2, 286) = 9.03, p < .001)
- **\*** Health status and life satisfaction and happiness (F(2, 310) = 1.53, p < .001)





#### RESULTS

- × Students who reported spending more time in sedentary activities were more likely to report a health problem ( $\chi^2(2) = 8.06$ , p < .05).
- × Most commonly reported health condition was back and neck pain (n = 44), followed by anxiety (n = 39), sleep problems (n = 17), depression (n = 16), and respiratory problems (n = 15).















#### BEFORE WE GO ON...

- × What results stand out to you most?
- ${\color{black}{\star}}$  Do you see any possible areas for intervention?
  - + How could we teach & promote health at the same time  $\textcircled{\mbox{$\odot$}}$

# THE POSITIVES

- Institutional values of the university which emphasize physical, emotional and spiritual health.
- Students may adopt and internalize these values as they immerse themselves in the culture of the university. Implementing healthrelated institutional values may thus be an important step towards creating healthier trends among university students.

# **AREAS OF CONCERN**

- vover half of the students indicated insufficient exercise
- Nonly 38% of students reported avoiding sedentary activities



# **AREAS OF CONCERN**

Sitting Disease



-Musculoskeletal disorders - Cardiovascular disease - Cancer - DM Type 2 - Premature death

# **AREAS OF CONCERN**

× Sleep

- + Only 59% of the students reported getting at least 7 hours of quality sleep per night
- A Over half the study sample reported feeling tired or needing a nap at least 3-4 days per week
- + 15 students reported having sleep problems
- Monitoring health
  - + Only 46% of students reported monitoring their health regularly
- × Diet
  - More than half of the student sample indicated that they eat foods that are high in fats, cholesterol, sodium or sugar

# VULNERABLE SUB-GROUPS

- × Infrequent exercise & unhealthy diet
- × Infrequent exercise & healthy diet
- × Mature aged students



# **TEACHING & PROMOTING HEALTH**

- Think about integrating breaks, stretches and exercise
- × Ergonomic design (OT visit)
- **×** Bringing candy to class?
- \* Providing support with stress management
- × Early detection of problems
- Encouraging health monitoring
- × Focus on spirituality



# LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- × Cross-sectional
- × Single-institution
- × Self-report
- \* Pressure to complete surveys in class time

### CONCLUSION

- × While several positive health trends were noted, a number of areas were highlighted that require consideration when designing university-based health promotion programming.
- × Particular attention should be paid towards reducing the amount of time spent in sedentary activities, offering healthy food options, promoting sleep and rest, and providing additional support for mature aged students.

#### REFERENCES

- Bryer J, Cherkis F, Raman J. Health-promotion behaviors of undergraduate nursing students: A survey Analysis. Nurs Educ Perspect. 2013; 34(6):410-415. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5480/11-614</u>. Buckworth J, Nigg C. Physical activity, exercise, and sedentary behavior in college students. J Am Coll Health. 2004; 53(1):28-34 Buc 34.
- 34. Hase A, Steptoe A, Sallis JF, Wardle J. Leisure-time physical activity in university students from 23 universities: Associations with health beliefs, risk awareness, and national economic development. Preventive Medicine. 2004; 39:182:190. doi: 0.1016/j.ymerc.2004.01.028. Silliman K, Rotas-Fortier K, Neyman M. A survey of detary and exercise habits and perceived barriers to following a healthy litestyle in a college population. Call 'I Health Promot. 2004; 18:28:14. Analeste from: http://www.hawaii.edu/hivandaids/Survey. of Diet and Exercise Habits in a College Population.pdf